FILEU

DEPLITY

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure A

Rancho Cucamonga is one of California's safest and most desirable cities because we have high community standards. Measure A will protect the quality of life that makes our community such a special place while protecting our property values.

The arguments against Measure A are deceptive, flawed and untrue.

FACT: Measure A <u>lowers</u> assessments for many residents. It eliminates a system of overlapping assessment districts that penalize many homeowners, replacing it with a unified district that provides <u>Fair Rates</u> for all.

FACT: Measure A is modeled after Prop. 13 with strong taxpayer protections—including a Citizens Oversight Committee to monitor expenditures and Independent Audits to ensure all monies are used only for <u>voter-approved</u> purposes in the District.

FACT: Under the current system, senior citizens on fixed incomes receive no discount on assessments. Measure A provides a 50% discount to all residents age 65+.

FACT: Measure A monies are placed in a <u>restricted fund</u> and are <u>limited</u> to actual maintenance costs for the parks, landscaping and street lighting services that are specifically listed in your voter handbook.

Measure A ensures that street lights remain on. It keeps park playgrounds and restrooms in good repair for our families. It ensures that public landscaping stays attractive and well maintained. It protects the quality of life that makes Rancho Cucamonga such a special community.

Protect your property values. Support quality parks, trails and recreational facilities. Keep your neighborhood safe and beautiful. Join community and public safety leaders in **Voting YES on Measure A**.

Patricia Morris

RECEIVED

AUG 2 5 2015

CITY CLERK
CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

ARGUMENTS

FORM OF STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 3 (beginning with § 9200) of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement to be signed by each proponent, and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent (s) or author(s) of the (primary/rebuttal) argument (in favor of/against) ballot proposition (mame or nutriber) at the Special Election for the City of Rancho Cucamonga to be held on November 3, 2015 hereby state that the argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

Print Name PATRICIA MORRIS Title WEST-SIDE RESIDENT FOR 40 YEARS (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature Patricia Marris Date 8-25-15
Print Name Doing Monzizis Title YOUTH STORTS LEAD-N (If applicable):Submitted on behalf of: (name of organization)	Signature Macon Date 2-5 Anis 15
Print Name Rouse DIEBERDORF Title ASSISTANT SHERIFF FOIR SHW BERMEDING (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of: COLNTY SHERIFF'S DEFT, RETIRED (name of organization)	Signature Date 8-25-5
Print Name	Signature
Print Name	Signature
(name of organization)	Date

RECEIVED

All Authors must print his/her name <u>and sign this form</u> (EC 9600)

AND

AUG 2 5 2015 Print his/her name and sig

Print his/her name and sign the Argument Itself (EC 9283)

AND

CITY CLERK

Print his/her name and sign the Rebuttal Argument itself (EC 9285)

CITY OF RANGE OF CODE § 9282, printed arguments submitted to the voters shall be titled either "Argument In Favor Of Measure
__" or "Argument Against Measure __".

Likewise, printed rebuttal arguments submitted pursuant to Election Code § 9285 shall be titled either "Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure ___" or "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ___".